A REVIEW ON INTERFACIAL STUDY OF INTERACTIVITY BETWEEN VIRUS AND NANOMATERIALS

*Sandeep Sharma, **Dr Chetan Kumar Joshi

Assistant Professor, Department of Physics S R R M Govt. College, Jhunjhunu (India) Assistant Professor, Department of Zoology, S.K. Govt. College, Sikar (India)

ABSTRACT

The interactions between biomass-based nanomaterials' surfaces are the focus of this summary of recent developments. For a sustainable, circular bioeconomy, plant biomass-based nanoparticles like nanocellulose and lignin from industry side streams have a lot of potential for the creation of lightweight, functional, biodegradable, or recyclable material solutions. Worldwide, infectious diseases account for the majority of deaths, and viruses in particular have a significant impact on healthcare and socioeconomic development. Likewise, the quick improvement of medication protection from as of now accessible treatments and unfriendly incidental effects because of delayed use is a serious general wellbeing concern. The improvement of novel treatment methodologies is accordingly required. The biomedical field is rapidly changing as a result of the advantages offered by the interaction of nanostructures with microorganisms in both diagnostic and therapeutic applications. The unique physical properties of nanoparticles have advantages for drug delivery. These are mostly because of the particle's size, which has an effect on bioavailability and the amount of time it takes to circulate, its large surface area to volume ratio, which makes it more soluble than smaller particles, its variable surface charge, which makes it possible to encapsulate drugs, and the large drug payloads that can be accommodated. Nanoparticulate drug delivery systems are ideal candidates for investigation in order to achieve or enhance therapeutic effects because of their properties, which are distinct from those of bulk materials of the same composition. A comprehensive overview of the use of nanoscale materials to treat common viral infections is provided in this review.

Keywords: advances; hepatitis; HIV; influenza; nanotechnology; vaccine; virus

INTRODUCTION

Overall populace development, joined with far reaching expansions in energy and materials use, contributes altogether to an unnatural weather change, contamination, and decrease of Earth's normal assets. There is a need for a paradigm shift in the materials industry toward a circular

e-ISSN: 2454-6402, p-ISSN: 2454-812X

materials bioeconomy in order to maintain the current standard of living and safeguard the environment. Recycling that works better, upcycling, and making sustainable use of renewable resources are all part of this. Biobased nanomaterials are being pursued as one of the most promising alternatives to address these difficulties in the switch from resources based on fossil fuels to renewable ones. Cellulose nanomaterials (CNMs) are the plant-based nanomaterial that have received the most research, followed by lignin nanoparticles (LNPs). Other sustainable nanomaterials incorporate chitin and chitosan, starch, and hemicelluloses, (12–14) yet these have, until this point, pulled in less consideration. Biobased nanomaterials join the potential outcomes of nanotechnology with the average benefits of renewables, similar to overflow, biodegradability, recyclability, biocompatibility, and low creation costs. Biobased nanomaterials can be used to create advanced materials that not only outperform synthetic materials but also utilize their unique inherent properties.

SOURCES FOR BIOBASED MATERIALS

Polysaccharides, polyphenols, and proteins are the primary biopolymers found in nature that are capable of forming nanomaterials. Plants contain a lot of polyphenols, whereas animals or bacteria mostly make proteins. Polysaccharides are generally present in any living material. The polysaccharides cellulose and hemicellulose, as well as the complex polyphenolic polymer lignin, are found in wood and vascular plants. Plant-based biorefineries have been optimized for high yields at low cost and minimal environmental impact, and wood and plant fibers have been used for centuries in materials like paper and textiles. While there is still appeal for perceptible mash filaments for bundling and tissue, and polymeric cellulose for materials and bioenergy, existing biorefineries are likewise phenomenal wellsprings of biomass for CNM and lignin nanomaterial (LNM) creation. A forest managed sustainably prevents soil degradation, acts as a carbon sink, enhances biodiversity, can generate income, and provides people with food and recreation. It also has a lot of fibers and timber in abundance. Although virgin wood fibers have been extensively utilized for CNM production with excellent results, other feedstocks should be considered for resource efficiency. CNMs and LNMs both use agricultural waste residues as feedstocks, which has the advantage of being harvested more frequently. Lignin is available as a side product from biorefineries and the pulp and paper industry, but it is currently primarily used for energy. By binding the carbon in products for a longer period of time, effective utilization of the lignin in materials would lead to a positive carbon handprint and accelerate the transition to more energyefficient processes and greener energy sources.

CNMs can also be obtained from algae, tunicates, and various bacterial species—all non-plant sources. Specifically, bacterial cellulose has been seriously concentrated on over the most recent

twenty years, with an exceptional spotlight on biomedical applications. However, for large-scale production, the isolation and preparation of nonplant CNMs must still be optimized.

Crustacean shell waste and fungi for the extraction of chitin nanofibrils and biotechnological methods for the controlled preparation of silk nanofibrils are examples of other natural sources for natural nanomaterials. Compared to the plant-based nanomaterials, their extraction is still more energy-intensive (chitin nanofibrils from crustaceans) or less accessible (silk nanofibrils or chitin from fungi). Since we are concentrating on plant-derived nanomaterials, the cell wall structure of plants is discussed next.

NANOTECHNOLOGY AGAINST VIRAL INFECTIONS WITH FOCUS ON CoVs

The improvement, advancement, or application of atomic or molecular structures with variable composition, shape/morphology, size, or surface properties that have at least one dimension in the nanoscale range (100 nm) is referred to as nanotechnology and nanoscience. Throughout the long term, NPs/nanocarriers have been used in a variety of new drug applications, in particular powerful conveyance of medications to the designated locales without uncovering the sound tissue cells, delicate imaging to recognize viral sicknesses at beginning phases, and crossing these boundaries (e.g., the epithelial/endothelial, immunological, or cell hindrances) to convey nano-helpful particles or nanovaccines to explicit unhealthy organs or cell tissues as well as to collaborate with biomolecules in the blood or inside organ tissues. In addition, important examples of nano-based approaches against viruses include the inactivation of viruses by means of engineered nanomaterials (such as an acid-functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotube containing photoactivated molecules) and the inhibition of viral binding to the host cell surface receptor (such as the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor, particularly in the case of SARS-CoV-2). In addition to their nanoscale size, nanocarriers are able to co-transport antigens accompanied by a variety of adjuvants and efficiently deliver antigens through surface functionalization. Any effective (nano)medicinal agent must be delivered to the appropriate location at the appropriate concentrations and within the appropriate amount of time.

Typically, there are two types of targeting mechanisms: passive and active. A local vasculature's increased permeability or leakiness—caused by inflammation or cancer—may result in passive targeting in a targeted nanoparticulate delivery system. This can make the sick area more receptive to the accumulation of a nanotherapeutic agent. Attachment of targeting ligands, such as antibodies, carbohydrates, peptides, proteins, and so on, is instead associated with active targeting. to direct the nanotherapeutic substance toward a particular epitope, site, or receptor. In order to improve specificity, active targeted nanoparticulate delivery systems should incorporate nuclear localization signals onto the nanocarrier. Dynamic focusing on is in this way a critical prerequisite

for the remediation of infection diseases since various antiviral nanodrugs are fundamental to guarantee they are restricted at specific subcellular organelles/locales, contingent upon the replication stages and the (nano)drug's methods of activity. Along this line, integrase inhibitors actually forestall the strand move response of the infection lifecycle stages (e.g., HIV integrase, which is answerable for the connection of viral DNA into have/cell chromosomes).

CONCLUSION

As a result, there has been a lot of interest in using nanoparticle-based delivery systems to treat viral infections because they offer new possibilities for overcoming obstacles associated with conventional drug therapies. Conventional antiviral properties can be incorporated into nanomaterials through modifications that are unique to nanosystems (large surface area to volume ratio, extremely small and controllable size, and the capacity to tailor the surface with the possibility of multi-functionalization). For biomedical research and clinical applications, this is unquestionably a promising instrument. Because they are able to easily enter cells, interact with viruses, and prevent viral genome replication, nanomaterials are ideal candidates for combating viral infections, particularly CoVs. NPs can be used as a way to reverse antiviral resistance, which is a problem that traditional treatments are having trouble with. Additionally, cutting-edge advancements in nanotechnology can be used to make improvements to conventional antiviral medications, such as making them more bioavailable and less toxic. These days, specialists are zeroing in on undeniable level trial studies to find creative and savvy nano/bio-materials and lattices for planning controlled discharge and designated drug conveyance frameworks; nanovaccines and nano/bio-sensors against pathogenic infections, especially for human and creature CoVs, need more investigation. Drug resistance, the result of microbial evolution, continues to be a major public health concern. In a similar vein, the effective combating of infectious disease agents necessitates the advancement of technology, particularly through the utilization of the dynamic and adaptable nature of nanomedicine.

REFERENCES

[1].Nobre TM, Pavinatto FJ, Caseli L, Barros-Timmons A, Dynarowicz-Łątka P, Oliveira Jr ON. Interactions of bioactive molecules & nanomaterials with Langmuir monolayers as cell membrane models. Thin Solid Films. 2015 Oct 30;593:158-88.

[2]. Wen AM, Infusino M, De Luca A, Kernan DL, Czapar AE, Strangi G, Steinmetz NF. Interface of physics and biology: engineering virus-based nanoparticles for biophotonics. Bioconjugate chemistry. 2015 Jan 21;26(1):51-62.

International Journal of Innovations in Scientific Engineering

(IJISE) 2015, Vol. No. 2, Jul-Dec

e-ISSN: 2454-6402, p-ISSN: 2454-812X

[3].Lynch I, Ahluwalia A, Boraschi D, Byrne HJ, Fadeel B, Gehr P, Gutleb AC, Kendall M, Papadopoulos MG. The bio-nano-interface in predicting nanoparticle fate and behaviour in living organisms: towards grouping and categorising nanomaterials and ensuring nanosafety by design. BioNanoMaterials. 2013 Dec 1;14(3-4):195-216.

[4]. Al-Subaie MM, Hosny KM, El-Say KM, Ahmed TA, Aljaeid BM. Utilization of nanotechnology to enhance percutaneous absorption of acyclovir in the treatment of herpes simplex viral infections. Int J Nanomedicine. 2015 Jun 15;10:3973-85.

[5].López-Heras M, Theodorou IG, Leo BF, Ryan MP, Porter AE. Towards understanding the antibacterial activity of Ag nanoparticles: electron microscopy in the analysis of the materialsbiology interface in the lung. Environmental Science: Nano. 2015;2(4):312-26.

[6].Zhang S, Gao H, Bao G. Physical principles of nanoparticle cellular endocytosis. ACS nano. 2015 Sep 22;9(9):8655-71.

[7]. Fujimori A, Ohmura K, Honda N, Kakizaki K. Creation of high-density and low-defect singlelayer film of magnetic nanoparticles by the method of interfacial molecular films. Langmuir. 2015 Mar 17;31(10):3254-61.

[8].Fujimori A, Ohmura K, Honda N, Kakizaki K. Creation of high-density and low-defect singlelayer film of magnetic nanoparticles by the method of interfacial molecular films. Langmuir. 2015 Mar 17;31(10):3254-61.

[9].Sotirelis NP, Chrysikopoulos CV. Interaction between graphene oxide nanoparticles and quartz sand. Environmental Science & Technology. 2015 Nov 17;49(22):13413-21.

[10]. Smith SC, Rodrigues DF. Carbon-based nanomaterials for removal of chemical and biological contaminants from water: A review of mechanisms and applications. Carbon. 2015 Sep 1;91:122-43.s